Aviation Safety Investigation Report 199301543

Airbus A300-B4-203

26 May 1993

Aviation Safety Investigation Report 199301543

Readers are advised that the Australian Transport Safety Bureau investigates for the sole purpose of enhancing transport safety. Consequently, Bureau reports are confined to matters of safety significance and may be misleading if used for any other purposes.

Investigations commenced on or before 30 June 2003, including the publication of reports as a result of those investigations, are authorised by the Executive Director of the Bureau in accordance with Part 2A of the Air Navigation Act 1920.

Investigations commenced after 1 July 2003, including the publication of reports as a result of those investigations, are authorised by the Executive Director of the Bureau in accordance with the Transport Safety Investigation Act 2003 (TSI Act). Reports released under the TSI Act are not admissible as evidence in any civil or criminal proceedings.

NOTE: All air safety occurrences reported to the ATSB are categorised and recorded. For a detailed explanation on Category definitions please refer to the ATSB website at www.atsb.gov.au.

Occurrence Number: 199301543 Occurrence Type: Incident

Location: Melbourne Airport

State: VIC Inv Category: 4

Date: Wednesday 26 May 1993

Time: 1110 hours **Time Zone** EST

Highest Injury Level: None

Aircraft Airbus

Manufacturer:

Aircraft Model: A300-B4-203

Aircraft Registration: VH-TAD Serial 196

Number:

Type of Operation: Air Transport Domestic High Capacity Passenger

Scheduled

Damage to Aircraft: Nil

Departure Point: Sydney NSW

Departure Time:

Destination: Melbourne VIC

Approved for Release: Thursday, October 27, 1994

After landing on runway 27 the crew of VH-TAD commenced taxying the aircraft along taxiway echo, back to the terminal. It was necessary to obtain a clearance to cross runway 34 on the way in.

In the control tower the aerodrome controller issued a clearance to another aircraft to take off on runway 34. He also gave approval to the surface movement controller to allow VH-TAD to cross runway 34 behind the aircraft taking off.

The surface movement controller then issued a clearance for VH-TAD to cross runway 34. In doing this he omitted to say to cross behind the departing aircraft. The crew of VH-TAD saw the other aircraft taking off on runway 34 and held VH-TAD short of runway 34 until the other aircraft had passed.

Significant Factor

The following factor was considered relevant to the development of the accident:

1. The surface movement controller incorrectly issued a clearance for VH-TAD to cross runway 34, in lieu of saying to cross behind the aircraft taking off on runway 34.