Aviation Safety Investigation Report 198702385

Cessna 172M

VH-UGK

Readers are advised that the Australian Transport Safety Bureau investigates for the sole purpose of enhancing transport safety. Consequently, Bureau reports are confined to matters of safety significance and may be misleading if used for any other purposes.

Investigations commenced on or before 30 June 2003, including the publication of reports as a result of those investigations, are authorised by the CEO of the Bureau in accordance with Part 2A of the Air Navigation Act 1920.

Investigations commenced after 1 July 2003, including the publication of reports as a result of those investigations, are authorised by the CEO of the Bureau in accordance with the Transport Safety Investigation Act 2003 (TSI Act). Reports released under the TSI Act are not admissible as evidence in any civil or criminal proceedings.

NOTE: All air safety occurrences reported to the ATSB are categorised and recorded. For a detailed explanation on Category definitions please refer to the ATSB website at www.atsb.gov.au.

Occurrence Number: 198702385 Occurrence Type: Accident

Location: Bankstown NSW

Date: 31827 **Time:** 1135

Highest Injury Level: Nil

Injuries:

	Fatal	Serious	Minor	None
Crew	0	0	1	1
Ground	0	0	0	-
Passenger	0	0	0	3
Total	0	0	0	4

Aircraft Details: Cessna 172M **Registration:** VH-UGK

Serial Number:

Operation Type: Private (Pleasure)

Damage Level: Substantial

Departure Point: Bankstown NSW

Departure Time: N/A

DESTINATION:

Bankstown NSW

Approved for Release: 21 July 1987

Circumstances:

Destination:

The pilot reported that during the takeoff roll the aircraft was slow to accelerate. At about 50 knots the aircraft became airborne in a nose-high attitude, and the pilot experienced difficulty in lowering the nose. Shortly afterwards the aircraft stalled, the left wing dropped and the aircraft turned through about 120 degrees before impacting the ground. It was determined that the takeoff had been conducted with the flaps in the fully extended position. The pilot had not previously flown this particular aircraft, and was not aware that a flap position indicator was fitted. He had judged the takeoff setting by watching the flaps extend, and had then released the selector, expecting it to be spring-loaded to the neutral position. However, the centering spring was broken and the flaps continued to full extension. The aircraft was found to be about 57 kilograms above the maximum permitted all-up-weight, and the centre of gravity was close to the rear limit. It was evident that the pilot was surprised by the aircraft attitude and the unusually high control column forces required after takeoff, and was unable to react to the situation in time to avoid losing control of the aircraft.