Aviation Safety Investigation Report 199402370

Boeing Co 737

23 August 1994

Readers are advised that the Australian Transport Safety Bureau investigates for the sole purpose of enhancing transport safety. Consequently, Bureau reports are confined to matters of safety significance and may be misleading if used for any other purposes.

Investigations commenced on or before 30 June 2003, including the publication of reports as a result of those investigations, are authorised by the Executive Director of the Bureau in accordance with Part 2A of the Air Navigation Act 1920.

Investigations commenced after 1 July 2003, including the publication of reports as a result of those investigations, are authorised by the Executive Director of the Bureau in accordance with the Transport Safety Investigation Act 2003 (TSI Act). Reports released under the TSI Act are not admissible as evidence in any civil or criminal proceedings.

NOTE: All air safety occurrences reported to the ATSB are categorised and recorded. For a detailed explanation on Category definitions please refer to the ATSB website at www.atsb.gov.au.

The Bureau did not conduct an on scene investigation of this occurrence. The information presented below was obtained from information supplied to the Bureau.

Occurrence Number:	199402370Occurrence Type: Incident			
Location:	Cullerin			
State:	NSW	Inv Category:	4	
Date:	Tuesday 23 August 1994			
Time:	1457 hours	Time Zone	EST	
Highest Injury Level: None				
Aircraft Manufacturer:	Boeing Co			
Aircraft Model:	737			
Aircraft Registration:	F-ODGX			Serial Number:
Type of Operation:	Air Transport High Ca Scheduled	apacity Internationa	l Passenger	
Damage to Aircraft:	Nil			
Departure Point:	Melbourne VIC			
Departure Time:	1418 EST			
Destination:	Sydney NSW			

Approved for Release: Monday, September 12, 1994

F-ODGX planned Melbourne to Sydney via Strathbogie, designated route W32, Cullerin, Bindook and Oakdale to Sydney. Due to limitations, the computer aided strip printing system (CASPS) did not recognise waypoint Oakdale in the flight plan as valid, and consequently could not print the strips.

An airways data system officer (ADSO) in the Melbourne area approach control centre (AACC) amended the plan which was then re-run with errors and omissions such as speed, flight level and route.

As a consequence, the Melbourne sector 2 and 7 enroute flight progress strips then incorrectly indicated that the flight was tracking via Strathbogie, W32, waypoint Cullerin to Sydney. Coincidently, the Sydney AACC advised non receipt of plan details on F-ODGX. The flight plan forwarded was the incorrect amended version.

F-ODGX's airways clearance was to track via Strathbogie to Sydney. On departure, the clearance was amended to track direct to Cullerin. The amended clearance was accepted by the pilot because it was on the flight planned route. At 130 NM from Melbourne, the aircraft was given a Rivet 1 arrival by Melbourne Sector 2. The pilot's readback of the clearance was unintelligible. At 160 NM from Sydney the aircraft was given an instruction to enter the holding pattern at 70 NM Sydney, with the requirement to reach flight level (FL) 210 by 70 NM from Sydney. This holding pattern is published on the Standard Instrument Arrival (STAR) plates and is located on the 230 radial, 70 NM from Sydney. On the route which the pilot followed, from Cullerin to Bindook, there is no published holding pattern at 70 NM Sydney. The pilot did not query the holding instruction reference the direction of the turn or the inbound radials.

Due to Sydney arrival sector also working from incorrect flight progress strip information, the flight planning error was not detected until the arrivals radar controller observed the aircraft making a left turn towards Bindook instead of a right turn towards Rivet.

At the time of this incident, another aircraft was tracking from Wagga Wagga to Bindook at FL210. Had the arrivals controller not observed and adjusted the incorrect turn by F-ODGX, a loss of separation standards may have occurred.

The incorrect routing of F-ODGX would have been rectified at the clearance delivery stage if the correct flight plan had been presented to the controllers handling the flight.

Significant Factors

- 1. The CASPS waypoint data was not compatible with the current air route structure.
- 2. The ADSO incorrectly amended the original flight plan.
- 3. The Melbourne sector controller did not obtain a valid readback of the STAR clearance.
- 4. The pilot did not query the inconsistent holding instruction.

Safety Actions

The Civil Aviation Authority Air Traffic Services has initiated new procedures regarding the method and circumstances in which filed flight plans may be re-run through the CASPS equipment.