Aviation Safety Investigation Report 199401927

Piper Aircraft Corp Arrow

21 July 1994

Readers are advised that the Australian Transport Safety Bureau investigates for the sole purpose of enhancing transport safety. Consequently, Bureau reports are confined to matters of safety significance and may be misleading if used for any other purposes.

Investigations commenced on or before 30 June 2003, including the publication of reports as a result of those investigations, are authorised by the Executive Director of the Bureau in accordance with Part 2A of the Air Navigation Act 1920.

Investigations commenced after 1 July 2003, including the publication of reports as a result of those investigations, are authorised by the Executive Director of the Bureau in accordance with the Transport Safety Investigation Act 2003 (TSI Act). Reports released under the TSI Act are not admissible as evidence in any civil or criminal proceedings.

NOTE: All air safety occurrences reported to the ATSB are categorised and recorded. For a detailed explanation on Category definitions please refer to the ATSB website at www.atsb.gov.au.

The Bureau did not conduct an on scene investigation of this occurrence. The information presented below was obtained from information supplied to the Bureau.

Occurrence Number:	199401927	Occurrence Type:	Accident
Location:	Cassilis		
State:	NSW	Inv Category:	4
Date:	Thursday 21 July 1994		
Time:	1458 hours	Time Zone	EST
Highest Injury Level:	None		
Aircraft Manufacture	r: Piper Aircraft Corp		
Aircraft Model:	PA-28R-180		
Aircraft Registration:	VH-CHI	Serial Number: 28R-5043	
Type of Operation:	Instructional Other	Training	
Damage to Aircraft:	Substantial		
Departure Point:	Cessnock NSW		
Departure Time:			
Destination:	Cessnock NSW		
Crew Details:			
		т	Jourson

	Hours on		
Role	Class of Licence	Туре Но	urs Total
Pilot-In-Command	Commercial	200.0	8100

Approved for Release: Friday, August 26, 1994

The aircraft was being flown on an instructor standardisation flight which included the demonstration of a precautionary landing on a grass airstrip.

Prior to landing, the pilot in command who was also the chief flying instructor, carried out an inspection of the strip from a height of 500 feet above ground level (AGL). During the first run he noticed what appeared to be a series of ruts along the strip and decided carry out a second run at a lower height. Before descending to 50 feet AGL, the pilot lowered the landing gear as a safety precaution. During the second run, he confirmed that the surface appeared suitable for a landing. The landing gear was retracted and the aircraft was climbed back to 500 feet AGL for the landing circuit.

The pilot reported that he carried out the before landing checks on downwind but for reasons unknown, neglected to carry out a final approach check. When the throttle was closed during the flare, the landing gear warning horn sounded. The pilot applied full power to go round but then closed the throttle almost immediately when he felt the propeller strike the ground. The aircraft settled onto the strip and slid to a halt with the landing gear retracted.

Although the pilot in command had failed to lower the landing gear this went unnoticed by two other instructors who occupied the front right and rear right seats. It was reported that sunlight shining onto the landing gear indicator lights might have created the impression that the green lights were illuminated.