Aviation Safety Investigation Report 199503688

Cessna Aircraft Company 172B

06 November 1995

Readers are advised that the Australian Transport Safety Bureau investigates for the sole purpose of enhancing transport safety. Consequently, Bureau reports are confined to matters of safety significance and may be misleading if used for any other purposes.

Investigations commenced on or before 30 June 2003, including the publication of reports as a result of those investigations, are authorised by the Executive Director of the Bureau in accordance with Part 2A of the Air Navigation Act 1920.

Investigations commenced after 1 July 2003, including the publication of reports as a result of those investigations, are authorised by the Executive Director of the Bureau in accordance with the Transport Safety Investigation Act 2003 (TSI Act). Reports released under the TSI Act are not admissible as evidence in any civil or criminal proceedings.

NOTE: All air safety occurrences reported to the ATSB are categorised and recorded. For a detailed explanation on Category definitions please refer to the ATSB website at www.atsb.gov.au.

The Bureau did not conduct an on scene investigation of this occurrence. The information presented below was obtained from information supplied to the Bureau.

Occurrence Number:	199503688	Occurrence Type:	Accident
Location:	Ballarat		
State:	VIC	Inv Category:	4
Date:	Monday 06 November 1995		
Time:	1030 hours	Time Zone	ESuT
Highest Injury Level:	None		
Aircraft Manufacture Aircraft Model: Aircraft Registration: Type of Operation:	er: Cessna Aircraft Company 172B VH-MBR Non-commercial Pleasure	Serial Nur	nber: 17248154
Damage to Aircraft:	Substantial		
Departure Point:	Warrnambool Vic		
Departure Time:	0933 ESuT		
Destination:	Albury NSW		
Crew Details:			

	Hours on		
Role	Class of Licence	Type Hour	rs Total
Pilot-In-Command	Private	70.0	550

Approved for Release: Tuesday, September 10, 1996

The pilot diverted to Ballarat due to poor weather enroute. He noted smoke from a nearby chimney and estimated the wind was from 160 degrees. Runway 18 was selected for landing. On touchdown the aircraft bounced, touching down again near the right side of the runway. The pilot applied power to go around as the aircraft ran off the right side of the runway into a wet and boggy grass area. The aircraft did not become aiborne. The nose leg collapsed on the soft surface and the aircraft quickly came to a stop.

Significant Factors

The following factors were considered relevant to the development of the accident:

1 The pilot probably misjudged the landing flare.

2 The pilot probably did not apply the appropriate technique to ensure that directional control was maintained during the attempted landing and go around sequence.