

**Aviation Safety Investigation Report
199503483**

**Cessna Aircraft Company
Centurion**

21 October 1995

Readers are advised that the Australian Transport Safety Bureau investigates for the sole purpose of enhancing transport safety. Consequently, Bureau reports are confined to matters of safety significance and may be misleading if used for any other purposes.

Investigations commenced on or before 30 June 2003, including the publication of reports as a result of those investigations, are authorised by the Executive Director of the Bureau in accordance with Part 2A of the Air Navigation Act 1920.

Investigations commenced after 1 July 2003, including the publication of reports as a result of those investigations, are authorised by the Executive Director of the Bureau in accordance with the Transport Safety Investigation Act 2003 (TSI Act). Reports released under the TSI Act are not admissible as evidence in any civil or criminal proceedings.

NOTE: All air safety occurrences reported to the ATSB are categorised and recorded. For a detailed explanation on Category definitions please refer to the ATSB website at www.atsb.gov.au.

The Bureau did not conduct an on scene investigation of this occurrence. The information presented below was obtained from information supplied to the Bureau.

Occurrence Number: 199503483 **Occurrence Type:** Incident
Location: Lake Cargelligo, Aerodrome
State: NSW **Inv Category:** 4
Date: Saturday 21 October 1995
Time: 1115 hours **Time Zone** EST
Highest Injury Level: None

Aircraft Manufacturer: Cessna Aircraft Company
Aircraft Model: 210L
Aircraft Registration: VH-TCI **Serial Number:** 21060548
Type of Operation: Instructional Solo
Damage to Aircraft: Minor
Departure Point: Lake Cargelligo. NSW
Departure Time: 1045 EST
Destination: Lake Cargelligo. NSW

Crew Details:

Role	Class of Licence	Hours on Type	Hours Total
Pilot-In-Command	Student	71.0	2700

Approved for Release: Thursday, November 16, 1995

The student pilot, operating under the remote supervision of an instructor had been conducting circuits in his own aircraft. Whilst conducting a glide approach, the pilot noticed that the aircraft was at a lower altitude than desirable and decided to delay extending the landing gear until late on the approach. The aircraft subsequently landed with the landing gear retracted.

According to the pilot and his instructor the 'gear unsafe' warning horn was not working at the time of the accident, nor had it worked during the endorsement. An engineer had told them that it was a permissible unserviceability. This information was subsequently found to have been incorrect.