Aviation Safety Investigation Report 199500742

de Havilland Canada Beaver

16 March 1995

Readers are advised that the Australian Transport Safety Bureau investigates for the sole purpose of enhancing transport safety. Consequently, Bureau reports are confined to matters of safety significance and may be misleading if used for any other purposes.

Investigations commenced on or before 30 June 2003, including the publication of reports as a result of those investigations, are authorised by the Executive Director of the Bureau in accordance with Part 2A of the Air Navigation Act 1920.

Investigations commenced after 1 July 2003, including the publication of reports as a result of those investigations, are authorised by the Executive Director of the Bureau in accordance with the Transport Safety Investigation Act 2003 (TSI Act). Reports released under the TSI Act are not admissible as evidence in any civil or criminal proceedings.

NOTE: All air safety occurrences reported to the ATSB are categorised and recorded. For a detailed explanation on Category definitions please refer to the ATSB website at www.atsb.gov.au.

The Bureau did not conduct an on scene investigation of this occurrence. The information presented below was obtained from information supplied to the Bureau.

Occurrence Number:	199500742		Occurrence	e Type:	Accident	
Location:	8km E Yea					
State:	VIC		Inv Catego	ry:	4	
Date:	Thursday 16 Ma	rch 1995	i			
Time:	1400 hours		Time Zone		ESuT	
Highest Injury Level:	Minor					
Injuries:						
		Fatal	Serious	Minor	None	Total
	Crew	0	0	1	0	1
	Ground	0	0	0	0	0
	Passenger	0	0	0	0	0
	Total	0	0	1	0	1
Aircraft Manufacture	r: de Havilland (Canada				
Aircraft Model:	DHC-2					
Aircraft Registration:	VH-IDB				Serial Numb	er: 883
Type of Operation:	Commercial	Aerial	Agriculture -	Other		
Damage to Aircraft:	Substantial					
Departure Point:	8km E Yea Vl	IC				
Departure Time:	1400 ESuT					
Destination:	8km E Yea V	IC				
Crew Details:						
				Но	urs on	

	Hours on				
Role	Class of Licence	Type Hours Total			
Pilot-In-Command	Commercial	244.0	1468		

Approved for Release: Thursday, June 29, 1995

The pilot reported that the flight departed from an agricultural strip located in a valley surrounded by hills. The aircraft carried a full load of superphosphate to be spread on a property approximately one mile from the strip. The pilot had previously surveyed the property and the flight path. He had selected a route that took him up through a valley between hills and then over a low ridge to the property.

After take off the pilot set climb power and selected climb flap in order to follow his predetermined route to the property. The pilot advised that as the aircraft flew towards the low ridge it appeared to be descending rather than climbing. He elected to carryout a partial dump and to apply extra flap to clear a clump of trees. The speed deteriorated to 60 knots from the initial climb speed of 70 knots. The pilot did not increase power.

Some 300 metres later another partial dump was carried out to clear another tree. As that tree was cleared the pilot again initiated a partial dump and turned to the right in an endeavour to escape from a rapidly deteriorating situation. Immediately the turn was initiated the right wing dropped and the aircraft stalled, impacting the ground onto the right wing and cartwheeled to a stop some 50 metres from the initial impact.

The company chief pilot examined the accident site and advised that the flight path through the valley was in a classic false horizon situation whereby the surrounding hills caused the pilot to consider that the flight path was over flat terrain whilst in reality the terrain was rising approximately 5 degrees up to the ridge. The chief pilot also advised that the aircraft would not have been able to outclimb the terrain at high gross weight with only cruise power set.

Examination of the wreckage did not disclose any pre-impact factors that may have contributed to the accident. Weather and pilot workload were not considered to be factors in this accident.

The pilot had flown approximately 1200 hours on agricultural operations and 244 hours on the type. His loss of situational awareness could be due in part to his relatively low experience.

Significant factors.

The following factors were considered relevant to the development of the accident.

(1) At high weight, and with climb power applied, the pilot flew the aircraft on an inappropriate flight path into rising terrain.

(2) The pilot did not take appropriate remedial actions when the aircraft could not outclimb the terrain and the aircraft speed deteriorated.

(3) The pilot lost control of the aircraft while attempting a turn at low speed.