Aviation Safety Investigation Report 199500274

Beech Aircraft Corp Bonanza

03 February 1995

Aviation Safety Investigation Report 199500274

Readers are advised that the Australian Transport Safety Bureau investigates for the sole purpose of enhancing transport safety. Consequently, Bureau reports are confined to matters of safety significance and may be misleading if used for any other purposes.

Investigations commenced on or before 30 June 2003, including the publication of reports as a result of those investigations, are authorised by the Executive Director of the Bureau in accordance with Part 2A of the Air Navigation Act 1920.

Investigations commenced after 1 July 2003, including the publication of reports as a result of those investigations, are authorised by the Executive Director of the Bureau in accordance with the Transport Safety Investigation Act 2003 (TSI Act). Reports released under the TSI Act are not admissible as evidence in any civil or criminal proceedings.

NOTE: All air safety occurrences reported to the ATSB are categorised and recorded. For a detailed explanation on Category definitions please refer to the ATSB website at www.atsb.gov.au.

The Bureau did not conduct an on scene investigation of this occurrence. The information presented below was obtained from information supplied to the Bureau.

Occurrence Number: 199500274 Occurrence Type: Accident

Location: Albany

State: WA Inv Category: 4

Date: Friday 03 February 1995

Time: 1815 hours **Time Zone** WST

Highest Injury Level: None

Aircraft Manufacturer: Beech Aircraft Corp

Aircraft Model: A36

Aircraft Registration: VH-PDS Serial Number: E-2107

Type of Operation: Non-commercial Pleasure/Travel

Damage to Aircraft:SubstantialDeparture Point:Perth WADeparture Time:1651 WSTDestination:Albany WA

Approved for Release: Thursday, December 14, 1995

The pilot reported that he had an electrical failure whilst enroute to Albany. He elected to continue the flight on battery power. The pilot reported the night landing at Albany was normal but that the nosewheel had collapsed during the landing role and the aircraft had slid to a stop on its nose.

An engineer who inspected the aircraft reported that the aircraft had suffered a heavy landing damaging both the nosewheel retraction/extension rod and the rear, lower fuselage tie down point. The nosewheel had collapsed because the retraction/extension rod had failed in overload.

It is possible the pilot, deprived of normal cockpit illumination by low battery power, misjudged the approach and allowed the aircraft to land heavily.