Aviation Safety Investigation Report 199604116

Cessna Aircraft Company C-152

13 December 1996

Readers are advised that the Australian Transport Safety Bureau investigates for the sole purpose of enhancing transport safety. Consequently, Bureau reports are confined to matters of safety significance and may be misleading if used for any other purposes.

Investigations commenced on or before 30 June 2003, including the publication of reports as a result of those investigations, are authorised by the Executive Director of the Bureau in accordance with Part 2A of the Air Navigation Act 1920.

Investigations commenced after 1 July 2003, including the publication of reports as a result of those investigations, are authorised by the Executive Director of the Bureau in accordance with the Transport Safety Investigation Act 2003 (TSI Act). Reports released under the TSI Act are not admissible as evidence in any civil or criminal proceedings.

NOTE: All air safety occurrences reported to the ATSB are categorised and recorded. For a detailed explanation on Category definitions please refer to the ATSB website at www.atsb.gov.au.

The Bureau did not conduct an on scene investigation of this occurrence. The information presented below was obtained from information supplied to the Bureau.

Occurrence Number:	199604116		Occurrence Ty	pe: Incident	
Location:	Coolangatta, Ae	rodrome			
State:	QLD		Inv Category:	4	
Date:	Friday 13 December 1996				
Time:	1620 hours		Time Zone	EST	
Highest Injury Level: None					
Aircraft Manufacturer: Cessna Aircraft Company					
Aircraft Model:	152				
Aircraft Registration:	VH-HVI		Serial Number: 15281112		
Type of Operation:	Instructional	Other Tra	ining		
Damage to Aircraft:	Minor				
Departure Point:	Coolangatta				
Departure Time:	1500 EST				
Destination:	Coolangatta				
Crew Details:					

	Hours on		
Role	Class of Licence	Type Hour	's Total
Pilot-In-Command	Commercial	49.7	248

Approved for Release: Tuesday, March 25, 1997

ASOR TEXT

A Major defect Report had been submitted in April 1995 after it was discovered that the nosewheel fork was cracked near the bolt hole, and the nosewheel fork was replaced. The replacement fork was actually a Cessna 150 part, the difference being the size of the axle bolt. The Cessna 150 fork which was fitted had an AN-4 bolt, whereas the correct fork had a larger AN-5 bolt. The pilots reported that after a normal landing the nosegear collapsed. The failure of the bolt at the head appears to be due to fatigue as a result of excessive working loads on the smaller diameter bolt.