Aviation Safety Investigation Report 199601654

Mooney Aircraft Corp Mooney

27 May 1996

Aviation Safety Investigation Report 199601654

Readers are advised that the Australian Transport Safety Bureau investigates for the sole purpose of enhancing transport safety. Consequently, Bureau reports are confined to matters of safety significance and may be misleading if used for any other purposes.

Investigations commenced on or before 30 June 2003, including the publication of reports as a result of those investigations, are authorised by the Executive Director of the Bureau in accordance with Part 2A of the Air Navigation Act 1920.

Investigations commenced after 1 July 2003, including the publication of reports as a result of those investigations, are authorised by the Executive Director of the Bureau in accordance with the Transport Safety Investigation Act 2003 (TSI Act). Reports released under the TSI Act are not admissible as evidence in any civil or criminal proceedings.

NOTE: All air safety occurrences reported to the ATSB are categorised and recorded. For a detailed explanation on Category definitions please refer to the ATSB website at www.atsb.gov.au.

199601654

The Bureau did not conduct an on scene investigation of this occurrence. The information presented below was obtained from information supplied to the Bureau.

Occurrence Number: 199601654 Occurrence Type: Accident

Location: Warnervale, (ALA)

State: NSW Inv Category: 4

Date: Monday 27 May 1996

Time: 0925 hours **Time Zone** EST

Highest Injury Level: None

Aircraft Manufacturer: Mooney Aircraft Corp

Aircraft Model: M20E

Aircraft Registration: VH-TRA Serial Number: 412

Type of Operation: Non-commercial Pleasure/Travel

Damage to Aircraft: Substantial

Departure Point: Warnervale NSW

Departure Time:

Destination: Warnervale NSW

Crew Details:

	Hours on		
Role	Class of Licence	Type Hou	ırs Total
Pilot-In-Command	Private	125.0	619

Approved for Release: Friday, July 12, 1996

During his pre-landing checks, the pilot found the landing gear manual extension handle was difficult to move toward the "gear extended" position. After returning the handle to the "gear retracted" position he was able to move it, with some difficulty, to the extended position. However, in order to reassure himself that the system was functioning correctly, the pilot carried out a missed approach to undertake further checks. He successfully completed a number of landing gear retractions and extensions, but still experienced the same difficulty as previously found. He then returned for a landing.

During final approach, the pilot recalled hearing a warning horn, but believed it was the stall warning horn and decided to apply more power to maintain what he considered a safe approach speed. The warning horn continued, distracting the pilot from his final approach checks. He continued to believe he was listening to the stall warning but was puzzled that he was still able to hear it, given the speed and configuration of the aircraft. He realised the landing gear was still retracted when he heard the underside of the fuselage scraping along the runway during the landing. The horn he had heard during the approach was the landing gear unsafe warning.

The pilot's pre-occupation with the warning horn on final approach, and his concern about the stiffness of movement of the landing gear handle, are considered to be factors contributing to the development of this occurrence.

Aviation Safety Investigation Repor	4 rt
19960165	4