Aviation Safety Investigation Report 199702805

Weatherly Aviation Company Ltd 620A

28 August 1997

Aviation Safety Investigation Report 199702805

Readers are advised that the Australian Transport Safety Bureau investigates for the sole purpose of enhancing transport safety. Consequently, Bureau reports are confined to matters of safety significance and may be misleading if used for any other purposes.

Investigations commenced on or before 30 June 2003, including the publication of reports as a result of those investigations, are authorised by the Executive Director of the Bureau in accordance with Part 2A of the Air Navigation Act 1920.

Investigations commenced after 1 July 2003, including the publication of reports as a result of those investigations, are authorised by the Executive Director of the Bureau in accordance with the Transport Safety Investigation Act 2003 (TSI Act). Reports released under the TSI Act are not admissible as evidence in any civil or criminal proceedings.

NOTE: All air safety occurrences reported to the ATSB are categorised and recorded. For a detailed explanation on Category definitions please refer to the ATSB website at www.atsb.gov.au.

Occurrence Number: 199702805 Occurrence Type: Accident

Location: 3km WSW Blumson Farm

State: SA Inv Category: 4

Date: Thursday 28 August 1997

Time: 0900 hours Time Zone CST

Highest Injury Level: Minor

Injuries:

	Fatal	Serious	Minor	None	Total
Crew	0	0	1	0	1
Ground	0	0	0	0	0
Passenger	0	0	0	0	0
Total	0	0	1	0	1

Aircraft Manufacturer: Weatherly Aviation Company Ltd

Aircraft Model: 620A

Aircraft Registration: VH-WEA Serial Number: 1528

Type of Operation: Commercial Aerial Agriculture - Other

Damage to Aircraft: Destroyed

Departure Point: Blumson Farm SA

Departure Time: 0830 CST

Destination: Blumson Farm SA

Crew Details:

Role Class of Licence Type Hours Total
Pilot-In-Command Commercial 16800

Approved for Release: Friday, October 10, 1997

The aircraft was carrying out dusting operations and was on the second flight of the day. The pilot had done an obstacle evaluation flight prior to commencement of operations and had identified a row of mallee trees running north/south inside the eastern boundary fence. Running parallel to these trees in the paddock to be sprayed was a single power line. The pilot made his first run to the west flying through a gateway and then under the power line as there was sufficient clearance and it provided the optimal approach for this swath run. The reciprocal run was then commenced to the east and the pilot began his pull up with a view to clear both the power line and the trees. During this manoeuvre the pilot heard a light thud and believed that he had contacted the power line. As the aircraft appeared to be handling normally, the pilot elected to return to the airstrip to inspect for damage. Approximately 450 metres past the paddock fence, the aircraft suddenly pulled to the right in a steep nose down attitude and impacted the ground sustaining substantial damage. After evacuating the aircraft, the pilot observed that the power line was still attached to the aircraft landing gear and had not broken. He stated that the local Electricity Trust representative later informed him that the power line was of a three strand high tensile steel construction that was designed specifically not to be cut or broken. This was evidenced by the ineffectiveness of the aircraft cable cutting devices and the severe damage that they sustained without severing the power line.