Cessna Aircraft Company Skyhawk

20 July 1997

Readers are advised that the Australian Transport Safety Bureau investigates for the sole purpose of enhancing transport safety. Consequently, Bureau reports are confined to matters of safety significance and may be misleading if used for any other purposes.

Investigations commenced on or before 30 June 2003, including the publication of reports as a result of those investigations, are authorised by the Executive Director of the Bureau in accordance with Part 2A of the Air Navigation Act 1920.

Investigations commenced after 1 July 2003, including the publication of reports as a result of those investigations, are authorised by the Executive Director of the Bureau in accordance with the Transport Safety Investigation Act 2003 (TSI Act). Reports released under the TSI Act are not admissible as evidence in any civil or criminal proceedings.

NOTE: All air safety occurrences reported to the ATSB are categorised and recorded. For a detailed explanation on Category definitions please refer to the ATSB website at www.atsb.gov.au.

199702384

Occurrence Number: 199702384 Occurrence Type: Incident

**Location:** Moorabbin, Aerodrome

State: VIC Inv Category: 4

**Date:** Sunday 20 July 1997

Time: 1143 hours Time Zone EST

Highest Injury Level: None

Aircraft Manufacturer: Cessna Aircraft Company

Aircraft Model: 172N

Aircraft Registration: VH-LHC Serial Number: 17269942

Type of Operation: Instructional Other Training

Damage to Aircraft: Nil

**Departure Point:** Moorabbin Vic

**Departure Time:** 

**Destination:** Moorabbin Vic

Approved for Release: Monday, August 17, 1998

#### **FACTUAL INFORMATION**

The pilot of the Cessna 172 (C172) landed the aircraft on runway 31R and vacated the runway at the A2 taxiway intersection. However, the pilot became confused by the numerous taxiway options for his return to the parking area and entered runway 35R, using it as a taxiway in a northerly direction. Runway 35R was not in use at the time and was therefore available for taxiing.

Whilst on runway 35R the pilot did not notice that his aircraft had entered runway 31R. He had not requested, nor had he received, a clearance from air traffic control to use runway 31R. He did not see any signage that indicated that he was approaching the active runway and did not notice the gable markers that were set back from the crossing point of the two runways.

A Piper PA28 had just taken off from runway 31R and passed over the C172 at a height of about 50 ft. A second PA28 on final approach to runway 31R was sent around by air traffic control.

### SAFETY ACTION

During the course of the investigation the Bureau of Air Safety Investigation issued the following recommendation relating to this occurrence:

R980057 (issued 25 May 1998)

"The Bureau of Air Safety Investigation recommends that the Civil Aviation Safety Authority review the adequacy of movement area guidance signs and markings, particularly at runway-to-runway intersections. The review should focus initially on Moorabbin Aerodrome and other aerodromes with multiple runways and complex taxiway systems."