**Aviation Safety Investigation Report 199700622** 

Cessna Aircraft Company 310Q

**27 February 1997** 

## Aviation Safety Investigation Report 199700622

Readers are advised that the Australian Transport Safety Bureau investigates for the sole purpose of enhancing transport safety. Consequently, Bureau reports are confined to matters of safety significance and may be misleading if used for any other purposes.

Investigations commenced on or before 30 June 2003, including the publication of reports as a result of those investigations, are authorised by the Executive Director of the Bureau in accordance with Part 2A of the Air Navigation Act 1920.

Investigations commenced after 1 July 2003, including the publication of reports as a result of those investigations, are authorised by the Executive Director of the Bureau in accordance with the Transport Safety Investigation Act 2003 (TSI Act). Reports released under the TSI Act are not admissible as evidence in any civil or criminal proceedings.

NOTE: All air safety occurrences reported to the ATSB are categorised and recorded. For a detailed explanation on Category definitions please refer to the ATSB website at www.atsb.gov.au.

The Bureau did not conduct an on scene investigation of this occurrence. The information presented below was obtained from information supplied to the Bureau.

Occurrence Number: 199700622 Occurrence Type: Accident

**Location:** Cambridge, Aerodrome

State: TAS Inv Category: 4

**Date:** Thursday 27 February 1997

**Time:** 1728 hours **Time Zone** ESuT

Highest Injury Level: None

Aircraft Manufacturer: Cessna Aircraft Company

Aircraft Model: 310Q

Aircraft Registration: VH-IFF Serial Number: 310Q0765

**Type of Operation:** Charter Passenger

Damage to Aircraft:SubstantialDeparture Point:Devonport TasDeparture Time:1634 ESuTDestination:Cambridge Tas

**Crew Details:** 

	Hours on		
Role	Class of Licence	Type Hour	rs Total
Pilot-In-Command	ATPL	60.0	9500

**Approved for Release:** Wednesday, May 7, 1997

After selecting the landing gear down prior to landing, the gear unsafe warning light remained illuminated and there was no down and locked indication for the left main landing gear. After consultation with company engineering personnel, the pilot made a low flypast to enable an assessment from the ground as to whether the landing gear was down and locked. The pilot was advised that from the ground the landing gear appeared to be down and locked. Shortly after landing the left main landing gear collapsed.

An inspection after the accident failed to determine the reason for the collapse.