**Aviation Safety Investigation Report 199700267** 

Boeing Co B737

29 January 1997

## Aviation Safety Investigation Report 199700267

Readers are advised that the Australian Transport Safety Bureau investigates for the sole purpose of enhancing transport safety. Consequently, Bureau reports are confined to matters of safety significance and may be misleading if used for any other purposes.

Investigations commenced on or before 30 June 2003, including the publication of reports as a result of those investigations, are authorised by the Executive Director of the Bureau in accordance with Part 2A of the Air Navigation Act 1920.

Investigations commenced after 1 July 2003, including the publication of reports as a result of those investigations, are authorised by the Executive Director of the Bureau in accordance with the Transport Safety Investigation Act 2003 (TSI Act). Reports released under the TSI Act are not admissible as evidence in any civil or criminal proceedings.

NOTE: All air safety occurrences reported to the ATSB are categorised and recorded. For a detailed explanation on Category definitions please refer to the ATSB website at www.atsb.gov.au.

199700267

The Bureau did not conduct an on scene investigation of this occurrence. The information presented below was obtained from information supplied to the Bureau.

Occurrence Number: 199700267 Occurrence Type: Incident

**Location:** Brisbane, Aerodrome

State: QLD Inv Category: 4

**Date:** Wednesday 29 January 1997

**Time:** 0817 hours **Time Zone** EST

Highest Injury Level: None

**Aircraft** Boeing Co

Manufacturer:

Aircraft Model: 737-377

Aircraft Registration: VH-CZO Serial 24304

Number:

Type of Operation: Air Transport Domestic High Capacity Passenger

Scheduled

**Damage to Aircraft:** Nil

**Departure Point:** Brisbane QLD **Departure Time:** 0817 EST

**Destination:** Townsville QLD

**Approved for Release:** Thursday, March 20, 1997

## **Sequence of Events**

The aircraft had commenced its take-off run on runway 19 when the driver of an FAC car on the eastern side of the runway radiod the Surface Movement controller (SMC) with a request to cross the runway at taxyway Mike. The SMC who did not hear the transmission properly, assumed that the car was already on the western side of the active runway and approved what he thought was a clearance to enter the taxyway.

The driver of the car did not realise that the clearance did not contain the words "clearance to cross the runway" and subsequently did not question the SMC. He also did not stop the car at the holding point to check for air traffic. He did not see the approaching jet until the car had entered the runway. He attempted to accelerate the car out of the way. The aircraft became airborne about 200 m short of the car and overflew at about 80 ft.

## Analysis

The SMC did not follow standard ATS procedures. He did not ask for a repeat of the transmission from the FAC car driver and did not check the physical location of the vehicle before issuing a clearance. The clearance he issued did not comply with local operating instructions. The controller was moderately busy at the time.

The driver of the car did not follow ground safety instructions. He did not receive a correctly phrased clearance, nor did he stop at the holding point to check for any aircraft landing or taking off.

