Aviation Safety Investigation Report 199805887

Douglas Aircraft Co Inc DC9-33F

09 December 1998

Aviation Safety Investigation Report 199805887

Readers are advised that the Australian Transport Safety Bureau investigates for the sole purpose of enhancing transport safety. Consequently, Bureau reports are confined to matters of safety significance and may be misleading if used for any other purposes.

Investigations commenced on or before 30 June 2003, including the publication of reports as a result of those investigations, are authorised by the Executive Director of the Bureau in accordance with Part 2A of the Air Navigation Act 1920.

Investigations commenced after 1 July 2003, including the publication of reports as a result of those investigations, are authorised by the Executive Director of the Bureau in accordance with the Transport Safety Investigation Act 2003 (TSI Act). Reports released under the TSI Act are not admissible as evidence in any civil or criminal proceedings.

NOTE: All air safety occurrences reported to the ATSB are categorised and recorded. For a detailed explanation on Category definitions please refer to the ATSB website at www.atsb.gov.au.

Aviation Safety Investigation Report

199805887

Occurrence Number: 199805887 Occurrence Type: Incident

Location: Melbourne, Aerodrome

State: VIC Inv Category:

Date: Wednesday 09 December 1998

Time: 2340 hours Time Zone ESuT

Highest Injury Level: None

Aircraft Manufacturer: Douglas Aircraft Co Inc

Aircraft Model: DC9-33F

Aircraft Registration: VH-IPF Serial Number: 47408

Type of Operation: Air Transport Cargo Domestic High Capacity Scheduled

Damage to Aircraft: Minor **Departure Point:** Unknown

Departure Time:

Destination: Melbourne Vic.

Approved for Release: Monday, January 18, 1999

The DC9 freighter crew performed a missed approach to Melbourne runway 27 when they did not get a landing gear safe indication after gear extension. The crew carried out a manual extension of the gear and obtained the safe indication, then proceeded to a safe landing.

Because the landing gear doors remain open after manual extension the crew stopped the aircraft on the runway to allow engineers to secure the doors.

This was the second of three similar events (refer BASI occurrences 9805884 and 9805886). After two failed attempts to re-rig the landing gear system it was found that normal extension could only be acheived if the system was rigged outside of maintenance manual tolerances. The mis-rig problem has been referred to the aircraft manufacturer and the aircraft was cleared for flight.

The aircraft has subsequently operated satisfactorily