Aviation Safety Investigation Report 199805366

Beech Aircraft Corp B1900

26 November 1998

Aviation Safety Investigation Report 199805366

Readers are advised that the Australian Transport Safety Bureau investigates for the sole purpose of enhancing transport safety. Consequently, Bureau reports are confined to matters of safety significance and may be misleading if used for any other purposes.

Investigations commenced on or before 30 June 2003, including the publication of reports as a result of those investigations, are authorised by the Executive Director of the Bureau in accordance with Part 2A of the Air Navigation Act 1920.

Investigations commenced after 1 July 2003, including the publication of reports as a result of those investigations, are authorised by the Executive Director of the Bureau in accordance with the Transport Safety Investigation Act 2003 (TSI Act). Reports released under the TSI Act are not admissible as evidence in any civil or criminal proceedings.

NOTE: All air safety occurrences reported to the ATSB are categorised and recorded. For a detailed explanation on Category definitions please refer to the ATSB website at www.atsb.gov.au.

Aviation Safety Investigation Report

199805366

Occurrence Number: 199805366 Occurrence Type: Incident

Location: 56km N Williamtown, Aerodrome

State: NSW Inv Category: 4

Date: Thursday 26 November 1998

Time: 0315 hours **Time Zone** ESuT

Highest Injury Level: None

Aircraft Manufacturer: Beech Aircraft Corp

Aircraft Model: 1900C

Aircraft Registration: VH-OST Serial Number: UC-56

Type of Operation: Air Transport Cargo Domestic Low Capacity Scheduled

Damage to Aircraft: Nil

Departure Point: Ballina NSW

Departure Time:

Destination: Williamtown NSW

Approved for Release: Tuesday, January 5, 1999

As the aircraft descended through 7,500 ft, the controller informed the pilot that radar services were terminated. Below 8,500 ft the aircraft was in `Class G demonstration airspace' and the pilot was responsible for separation from other aircraft. To assist him in this task, he was advised of radar-observed traffic by the controller, within the limits of radar coverage and as the controller's workload permitted. The pilot had expected that such assistance would not be terminated at 7,500 ft but would be provided to a much lower altitude.

Recorded data from three radar sites covering the area was examined during the investigation. Returns from the aircraft to one site were reliable down to 7,500 ft, while returns from the other two sites were broken for varying periods of time on a number of occasions during the descent. The radar system software utilised a built-in matrix to determine which radar data was used in specific geographical areas. The matrix had recently been changed as technicians attempted to obtain the best possible coverage for the area. The investigation found that radar coverage in the area the aircraft was operating was unreliable below about 7,500 ft.