

Engine failure involving an amateur built Pitts S1S, VH-URP

6 km S Lethbridge Airport (ALA), Victoria, 1 March 2014

ATSB Transport Safety Report Aviation Occurrence Investigation AO-2014-036

Final – 26 May 2014

Released in accordance with section 25 of the Transport Safety Investigation Act 2003

Publishing information

Published by: Australian Transport Safety Bureau **Postal address:** PO Box 967, Civic Square ACT 2608

Office: 62 Northbourne Avenue Canberra, Australian Capital Territory 2601

Telephone: 1800 020 616, from overseas +61 2 6257 4150 (24 hours) Accident and incident notification: 1800 011 034 (24 hours)

Facsimile: 02 6247 3117, from overseas +61 2 6247 3117

Email: atsbinfo@atsb.gov.au www.atsb.gov.au

© Commonwealth of Australia 2014



Ownership of intellectual property rights in this publication

Unless otherwise noted, copyright (and any other intellectual property rights, if any) in this publication is owned by the Commonwealth of Australia.

Creative Commons licence

With the exception of the Coat of Arms, ATSB logo, and photos and graphics in which a third party holds copyright, this publication is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 3.0 Australia licence.

Creative Commons Attribution 3.0 Australia Licence is a standard form license agreement that allows you to copy, distribute, transmit and adapt this publication provided that you attribute the work.

The ATSB's preference is that you attribute this publication (and any material sourced from it) using the following wording: Source: Australian Transport Safety Bureau

Copyright in material obtained from other agencies, private individuals or organisations, belongs to those agencies, individuals or organisations. Where you want to use their material you will need to contact them directly.

Addendum

Page	Change	Date

Engine failure involving an amateur built Pitts S1S, VH-URP

What happened

On 1 March 2014, the pilot of an amateur built Pitts S1S, registered VH-URP, completed preparations for a world record attempt for the number of continuous rolls, to raise funds for medical research.

Due to low cloud in the area, the pilot elected to delay the initial departure time and to conduct the aerobatic flight in the local training area about 3 NM from Lethbridge aeroplane landing area (ALA), Victoria.

After successfully completing 987 rolls to the left, at about

dust the

Source: Pilot

VH-URP

2,000 ft above ground level (AGL), the pilot elected to return to Lethbridge. About 2 minutes later, when in the cruise, the engine spluttered and lost power. The pilot assumed the aircraft had a partial engine failure, and aimed to return to Lethbridge which was about 1 NM away. He completed the 'trouble' checklist, with no success in restoring engine power.

The aircraft was rapidly losing altitude and the pilot selected a paddock for a forced landing. After turning into wind, the aircraft was sinking quickly and the pilot realised it was unlikely to reach the selected paddock. He revised the aiming point for the landing to a closer field.

During the landing roll, the aircraft collided with a rock and nosed over, coming to rest inverted. The aircraft was substantially damaged (Figure 1).

Pilot comments

The pilot reported that the 'flop tube' may have become stuck. It supplies the engine with fuel from the top of the tank when the aircraft is inverted. This may have been resolved by rolling the aircraft inverted. However, this was not a safe option at low altitude, with a partial or complete engine failure.

The damage to the aircraft was assessed as being greater than the replacement cost therefore no post-accident engineering inspection was conducted to determine the cause of the engine failure.

Figure 1: Damage to VH-URP



Source: Pilot

Safety message

The pilot reported that the original plan was to conduct the manoeuvres overhead the airfield; a known safe landing area in case of engine failure. This incident highlights the importance of selecting a suitable landing field as soon as a forced landing appears necessary.

Once a suitable landing area has been selected, within easy gliding distance, the pilot should then plan the approach to the field. Once the plan has been commenced, the pilot can then attempt to rectify the cause of the engine malfunction.

General details

Occurrence details

Date and time:	1 March 2014 – 1400 EST		
Occurrence category:	Accident		
Primary occurrence type:	Engine failure or malfunction		
Location:	6 km S Lethbridge Airport (ALA), Victoria		
	Latitude: 37° 58.08' S	Longitude: 144° 05.33' E	

Aircraft details

Manufacturer and model:	Amateur built Pitts S1S		
Registration:	VH-URP		
Serial number:	001		
Type of operation:	Aerobatics		
Persons on board:	Crew – 1	Passengers – Nil	
Injuries:	Crew – Nil	Passengers – Nil	
Damage:	Substantial		

About the ATSB

The Australian Transport Safety Bureau (ATSB) is an independent Commonwealth Government statutory agency. The ATSB is governed by a Commission and is entirely separate from transport regulators, policy makers and service providers. The ATSB's function is to improve safety and public confidence in the aviation, marine and rail modes of transport through excellence in: independent investigation of transport accidents and other safety occurrences; safety data recording, analysis and research; and fostering safety awareness, knowledge and action.

The ATSB is responsible for investigating accidents and other transport safety matters involving civil aviation, marine and rail operations in Australia that fall within Commonwealth jurisdiction, as well as participating in overseas investigations involving Australian registered aircraft and ships. A primary concern is the safety of commercial transport, with particular regard to fare-paying passenger operations.

The ATSB performs its functions in accordance with the provisions of the *Transport Safety Investigation Act 2003* and Regulations and, where applicable, relevant international agreements.

The object of a safety investigation is to identify and reduce safety-related risk. ATSB investigations determine and communicate the safety factors related to the transport safety matter being investigated.

It is not a function of the ATSB to apportion blame or determine liability. At the same time, an investigation report must include factual material of sufficient weight to support the analysis and findings. At all times the ATSB endeavours to balance the use of material that could imply adverse

comment with the need to properly explain what happened, and why, in a fair and unbiased manner.

About this report

Decisions regarding whether to conduct an investigation, and the scope of an investigation, are based on many factors, including the level of safety benefit likely to be obtained from an investigation. For this occurrence, a limited-scope, fact-gathering investigation was conducted in order to produce a short summary report, and allow for greater industry awareness of potential safety issues and possible safety actions.