Aviation Safety Investigation Report 198900822

Piper PA25-235

19 August 1989

Readers are advised that the Australian Transport Safety Bureau investigates for the sole purpose of enhancing transport safety. Consequently, Bureau reports are confined to matters of safety significance and may be misleading if used for any other purposes.

Investigations commenced on or before 30 June 2003, including the publication of reports as a result of those investigations, are authorised by the CEO of the Bureau in accordance with Part 2A of the Air Navigation Act 1920.

Investigations commenced after 1 July 2003, including the publication of reports as a result of those investigations, are authorised by the CEO of the Bureau in accordance with the Transport Safety Investigation Act 2003 (TSI Act). Reports released under the TSI Act are not admissible as evidence in any civil or criminal proceedings.

NOTE: All air safety occurrences reported to the ATSB are categorised and recorded. For a detailed explanation on Category definitions please refer to the ATSB website at <u>www.atsb.gov.au</u>.

Occurrence Number: Location: Date: Highest Injury Level: Injuries:		35 km WSW Peterborough SA 19 August 1989			Occurrence Type: Accident Time: 1330	
·		Crew Ground Passenger	Fatal 0 0 0	Serious 0 0 0	Minor 1 0 0	None 1 - 0
Aircraft Details: Registration: Serial Number: Operation Type: Damage Level: Departure Point: Departure Time: Destination:	VH-MI 25-3749 Aerial V Substan 35 km V SA 1330	LR Ə Work	C	0	0	1

Approved for Release: 21st December 1989

## **Circumstances:**

The aircraft was loaded with approximately 80 litres of fuel and 220 litres of spray. The pilot had intended to taxi to the far end of the 570 metre long paddock in order to takeoff into wind but at the last moment he noted that the wind was very light. He elected to takeoff from his current position with a rear quartering wind. Full power was applied and the aircraft accelerated normally. Approximately 50 to 100 metres from the boundary fence the pilot rotated but the aircraft failed to become airborne. He commenced to dump the load but the aircraft remained on the ground. The aircraft then ran through the boundary fence and collided with a small embankment collapsing the main gear. The pilot then noticed that the wind strength had increased to approximately eight knots which increased the tailwind component. A performance analysis estimated that under the prevailing conditions reported by the pilot the aircraft required 500 metres for takeoff. Although it was possible for the aircraft to takeoff in the available paddock length it is obvious that a small error in estimated wind velocity or paddock slope would have increased the takeoff run to more than was available. It is likely that this was the case. This accident was not the subject of a formal on-scene investigation.

## **Significant Factors:**

The following factors were considered relevant to the development of the accident

- 1. The takeoff was made with a tailwind stronger than that estimated by the pilot.
- 2. The takeoff distance available was insufficient for the planned operation.