

**Aviation Safety Investigation Report
198800145**

Sky Pup

27 March 1988

Readers are advised that the Australian Transport Safety Bureau investigates for the sole purpose of enhancing transport safety. Consequently, Bureau reports are confined to matters of safety significance and may be misleading if used for any other purposes.

Investigations commenced on or before 30 June 2003, including the publication of reports as a result of those investigations, are authorised by the CEO of the Bureau in accordance with Part 2A of the Air Navigation Act 1920.

Investigations commenced after 1 July 2003, including the publication of reports as a result of those investigations, are authorised by the CEO of the Bureau in accordance with the Transport Safety Investigation Act 2003 (TSI Act). Reports released under the TSI Act are not admissible as evidence in any civil or criminal proceedings.

NOTE: All air safety occurrences reported to the ATSB are categorised and recorded. For a detailed explanation on Category definitions please refer to the ATSB website at www.atsb.gov.au.

Occurrence Number: 198800145
Location: 3 km NW Mundijong WA
Date: 27 March 1988
Highest Injury Level: Serious
Injuries:

Occurrence Type: Accident
Time: 1440

	Fatal	Serious	Minor	None
Crew	0	1	0	0
Ground	0	0	0	-
Passenger	0	0	0	0
Total	0	1	0	0

Aircraft Details: Sky Pup
Registration: N/A
Serial Number: N/A
Operation Type: Private
Damage Level: Substantial
Departure Point: Davis Field WA
Departure Time: 1430
Destination: Davis Field WA

Approved for Release: November 18th 1988

Circumstances:

The pilot took-off with the intention of completing a circuit of the airfield. Once airborne, the pilot observed that the wind was stronger than anticipated, and he decided to abandon the circuit and land immediately in a clear field below the aircraft. During the downwind approach and when the aircraft was about 15 to 20 feet above ground level, the nose dropped suddenly. The pilot endeavoured to correct the situation by opening the throttle and attempting to raise the nose, however, this was ineffective and the aircraft collided with the ground. The pilot had recently purchased the aircraft, and although he had been advised to obtain some training before attempting to operate the aircraft he had declined to do so. The aircraft was not fitted with an air speed indicator, and assessment of speed was based on aircraft attitude in flight and speed across the ground.

Significant Factors:

It was considered that the following factors were relevant to the development of the accident

1. The pilot evidently believed that training in aircraft handling techniques was unnecessary, and attempted an operation which was beyond his experience level and ability.
2. The aircraft was not fitted with any means for determining the airspeed.
3. The pilot failed to maintain an adequate airspeed during his approach to land, and he allowed the aircraft to stall.
4. The pilot's actions following the stall aggravated the situation.