

**Aviation Safety Investigation Report
198901567**

Cessna 172N

23 November 1989

Readers are advised that the Australian Transport Safety Bureau investigates for the sole purpose of enhancing transport safety. Consequently, Bureau reports are confined to matters of safety significance and may be misleading if used for any other purposes.

Investigations commenced on or before 30 June 2003, including the publication of reports as a result of those investigations, are authorised by the CEO of the Bureau in accordance with Part 2A of the Air Navigation Act 1920.

Investigations commenced after 1 July 2003, including the publication of reports as a result of those investigations, are authorised by the CEO of the Bureau in accordance with the Transport Safety Investigation Act 2003 (TSI Act). Reports released under the TSI Act are not admissible as evidence in any civil or criminal proceedings.

NOTE: All air safety occurrences reported to the ATSB are categorised and recorded. For a detailed explanation on Category definitions please refer to the ATSB website at www.atsb.gov.au.

Occurrence Number: 198901567
Location: Essendon Airport VIC
Date: 23 November 1989
Highest Injury Level: Nil
Injuries:

	Fatal	Serious	Minor	None
Crew	0	0	1	1
Ground	0	0	0	-
Passenger	0	0	0	0
Total	0	0	0	1

Aircraft Details: Cessna 172N
Registration: VH-RSB
Serial Number: 17273719
Operation Type: Private
Damage Level: Substantial
Departure Point: Essendon VIC
Departure Time: 918
Destination: Essendon VIC

Approved for Release: 23rd February 1990

Circumstances:

The aircraft was established in normal cruise flight when the pilot thought he could smell burning oil. A check of the engine instruments did not indicate any problem but he elected to return to Essendon. The Essendon Terminal Information Service recording advised runway 35 was in use wind from the north at 15-30 knots and a temperature of 28 degrees. The flying conditions were moderately turbulent and the pilot made the approach with 10 degrees of flap and at 75 knots. Observers in the Tower reported the aircraft touched down well into the runway heavily nosewheel first and bounced two or three times before coming to rest. Subsequent ground inspection of the aircraft revealed that the bolt attaching the upper portion of the nose gear leg to the aircraft had been sheared by straight overload forces. This had allowed the upper part of the nose leg to be forced into the engine bay under pressure. The engine firewall was also buckled.

Significant Factors:

The following factors were considered relevant to the development of the accident

1. Strong gusty wind conditions.
2. The pilot misjudged the landing flare and touchdown.
3. The pilot was possibly distracted by the perceived engine problem.