

**Aviation Safety Investigation Report  
198800125**

**Cessna 182E**

**18 June 1988**

Readers are advised that the Australian Transport Safety Bureau investigates for the sole purpose of enhancing transport safety. Consequently, Bureau reports are confined to matters of safety significance and may be misleading if used for any other purposes.

Investigations commenced on or before 30 June 2003, including the publication of reports as a result of those investigations, are authorised by the CEO of the Bureau in accordance with Part 2A of the Air Navigation Act 1920.

Investigations commenced after 1 July 2003, including the publication of reports as a result of those investigations, are authorised by the CEO of the Bureau in accordance with the Transport Safety Investigation Act 2003 (TSI Act). Reports released under the TSI Act are not admissible as evidence in any civil or criminal proceedings.

**NOTE: All air safety occurrences reported to the ATSB are categorised and recorded. For a detailed explanation on Category definitions please refer to the ATSB website at [www.atsb.gov.au](http://www.atsb.gov.au).**

This accident was not the subject of an on site investigation.

**Occurrence Number:** 198800125

**Occurrence Type:** Accident

**Location:** Kambalda West WA

**Date:** 18 June 1988

**Time:** 1520

**Highest Injury Level:** Nil

**Injuries:**

	Fatal	Serious	Minor	None
Crew	0	0	1	1
Ground	0	0	0	-
Passenger	0	0	0	0
<b>Total</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1</b>

**Aircraft Details:** Cessna 182E

**Registration:** VH-TSH

**Serial Number:** 18254356

**Operation Type:** Private

**Damage Level:** Substantial

**Departure Point:** Kambalda West WA

**Departure Time:** 1520

**Destination:** Kambalda West WA

**Approved for Release:** February 21st 1989

#### **Circumstances:**

Shortly after the pilot applied full power and commenced the take-off roll there was a loud bang and the aircraft yawed violently. The pilot closed the throttle and stopped the aircraft. An inspection disclosed that one complete propeller blade was missing. A detailed inspection of the propeller blade indicated that the blade had failed in the area of the threads at the root of the blade. The fracture surfaces indicated that a fatigue crack had started approximately 500 hours prior to the final flight and that the blade had failed because the remaining unaffected metal could no longer carry the loads involved. The inspection was unable to find any reason for the origin of the fatigue crack. The cracking occurred in an area of the blade which is not visible when the blade is fitted to an aircraft, consequently the cracking could not be disclosed during either preflight or periodic maintenance inspections carried out in accordance with the maintenance system in force at the time of the failure. The blades are normally inspected for cracks at each overhaul. An overhaul is carried out after the blades have been in service for 1500 hours. The blade that failed had been in service for 1052 hours.

#### **Significant Factors:**

The following factors were considered relevant to the development of the accident

1. Inspections carried out under the current maintenance system could not disclose cracking that occurred in the area of the propeller root thread.
2. Fatigue and overload failure of the propeller blade for reasons which could not be determined.

#### **Recommendations:**

---

1. It is recommended that the CAA airworthiness authorities review the inspection requirements for McCauley propeller blades with a view to detecting cracks before they reach a critical size.