

Aviation Safety Investigation Report 198802407

Maule M5-235C

17 November 1988

Readers are advised that the Australian Transport Safety Bureau investigates for the sole purpose of enhancing transport safety. Consequently, Bureau reports are confined to matters of safety significance and may be misleading if used for any other purposes.

Investigations commenced on or before 30 June 2003, including the publication of reports as a result of those investigations, are authorised by the CEO of the Bureau in accordance with Part 2A of the Air Navigation Act 1920.

Investigations commenced after 1 July 2003, including the publication of reports as a result of those investigations, are authorised by the CEO of the Bureau in accordance with the Transport Safety Investigation Act 2003 (TSI Act). Reports released under the TSI Act are not admissible as evidence in any civil or criminal proceedings.

NOTE: All air safety occurrences reported to the ATSB are categorised and recorded. For a detailed explanation on Category definitions please refer to the ATSB website at www.atsb.gov.au.

Occurrence Number: 198802407 Occurrence Type: Accident

Location: Canberra ACT

Date: 17 November 1988 **Time:** 1241

Highest Injury Level: Nil

Injuries:

	Fatal	Serious	Minor	None
Crew	0	0	1	1
Ground	0	0	0	-
Passenger	0	0	0	3
Total	0	0	0	4

Aircraft Details: Maule M5-235C

Registration: VH-MZD
Serial Number: 7327C
Operation Type: Private
Damage Level: Substantial
Departure Point: Canberra ACT

Departure Time: 1231

Destination: Canberra ACT

Approved for Release: February 22nd 1989

Circumstances:

The pilot had completed one circuit involving a touch and go landing and a go around from the second approach. Following the third approach the aircraft touched down then veered to the left as it commenced the landing roll. The pilot was able to arrest the left swing as the aircraft reached the left side of the runway but a swing to the right then developed and resulted in a ground loop. The left main landing gear collapsed and the left wing impacted the ground. The investigation revealed that the pilot had used an incorrect crosswind control technique and then failed to maintain directional control during the landing roll. There were no pre-existing defects with the aircraft which could have contributed to the accident.

Significant Factors:

The following factors were considered relevant to the development of the accident

1. The pilot did not use the proper crosswind control technique to maintain directional control of the aircraft during the landing roll.