Aviation Safety Investigation Report 198901542

Armstrong Whitworth AW650-322 Argosy

3 May 1989

Readers are advised that the Australian Transport Safety Bureau investigates for the sole purpose of enhancing transport safety. Consequently, Bureau reports are confined to matters of safety significance and may be misleading if used for any other purposes.

Investigations commenced on or before 30 June 2003, including the publication of reports as a result of those investigations, are authorised by the CEO of the Bureau in accordance with Part 2A of the Air Navigation Act 1920.

Investigations commenced after 1 July 2003, including the publication of reports as a result of those investigations, are authorised by the CEO of the Bureau in accordance with the Transport Safety Investigation Act 2003 (TSI Act). Reports released under the TSI Act are not admissible as evidence in any civil or criminal proceedings.

NOTE: All air safety occurrences reported to the ATSB are categorised and recorded. For a detailed explanation on Category definitions please refer to the ATSB website at <u>www.atsb.gov.au</u>.

Occurrence Number: Location: Date: Highest Injury Level: Injuries:		198901542 Melbourne Airport VIC 3 May 1989 Nil			Occurrence Type: Accident Time: 235	
injurios			Fatal	Serious	Minor	None
		Crew	0	0	2	2
		Ground	0	0	0	-
		Passenger	0	0	0	0
		Total	0	0	0	2
Aircraft Details:	Armstrong Whitworth AW650-322 Argosy					
Registration:	VH-IPA					
Serial Number:	6803					
Operation Type:	RPT - Scheduled Domestic Cargo					
Damage Level:	Substantial					
Departure Point:	Sydney NSW					
Departure Time:	0015					
Destination:	Melbourne Airport VIC					

Approved for Release: 16th February 1990

Circumstances:

While taxiing after a normal landing, a rumbling noise was heard from the vicinity of the nosegear, the hydraulic low pressure light illuminated and nosegear steering authority was lost. The right hand nosewheel had separated from the aircraft due to fracturing of the axle. As the nosewheel departed it damaged the nosegear uplock assembly with consequent loss of hydraulic system integrity. It then continued under the fuselage causing skin damage. The nosewheel axle was recovered and subjected to metallurgical examination which showed that the fracture was due to fatigue. The fracture resulted from prior long term fatigue cracking which occupied approximately 75 of the cross sectional area of the axle. Stress corrosion cracks were present which were the most likely initiator of the fatigue cracks. A mandatory crack inspection requirement called up for this axle did not cover the specific area in which the stress corrosion was located. Consequently, during the axle refurbishment, the stress corrosion cracks were covered by a layer of electroplated chromium. Upon advice of the failure mode by the Bureau, the operator carried out an inspection of all inservice and spare axles, to remove any axles with stress corrosion under the electroplated chromium.

Significant Factors:

The following factors were considered relevant to the development of the accident

1. The nosewheel axle failed due to fatigue initiated by stress corrosion cracks.

2. The recurrent Non Destructive Inspection for the axles does not address the area where the stress corrosion occured. Consequently, pre- and post- refurbishment inspections did not detect the cracks.

Reccomendations:

1. The Civil Aviation Authority consider extending the current mandatory Non Destructive Inspection for the axles, to address the area discovered in this accident.