1

Aviation Safety Investigation Report 199002017

De Havilland DHC-2/A1

26 October 1990

Readers are advised that the Australian Transport Safety Bureau investigates for the sole purpose of enhancing transport safety. Consequently, Bureau reports are confined to matters of safety significance and may be misleading if used for any other purposes.

Investigations commenced on or before 30 June 2003, including the publication of reports as a result of those investigations, are authorised by the CEO of the Bureau in accordance with Part 2A of the Air Navigation Act 1920.

Investigations commenced after 1 July 2003, including the publication of reports as a result of those investigations, are authorised by the CEO of the Bureau in accordance with the Transport Safety Investigation Act 2003 (TSI Act). Reports released under the TSI Act are not admissible as evidence in any civil or criminal proceedings.

NOTE: All air safety occurrences reported to the ATSB are categorised and recorded. For a detailed explanation on Category definitions please refer to the ATSB website at www.atsb.gov.au.

Occurrence Number: 199002017 Occurrence Type: Accident

Location: Somersby NSW

Date: 26 October 1990 **Time:** 840

Highest Injury Level: Serious

Injuries:

| | Fatal | Serious | Minor | None |
|-----------|-------|---------|-------|------|
| Crew | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| Ground | 0 | 0 | 0 | - |
| Passenger | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| Total | 0 | 1 | 2 | 0 |

Aircraft Details: De Havilland DHC-2/A1

Registration: VH-AAX
Serial Number: 1411
Operation Type: Private
Damage Level: Substantial
Departure Point: Somersby NSW

Departure Time: 838

Destination: Camden NSW

Approved for Release: 16th December 1991

Circumstances:

The aircraft was being ferried to a strip in the Hunter Valley to carry out parachute dropping. En route, although performance appeared normal, the pilot sensed a possible problem with the turbine engine and landed at Somersby. After landing, a visual inspection of the engine was undertaken and several ground runs carried out, without any defect being found. The pilot elected to return to Camden where further checks could be made. The aircraft took off towards the south and climbed to a height of about 1000 feet, at which point the pilot heard a bang from the engine compartment which was followed soon after by a very loud bang associated with noises described by the pilot as like "forcing a crowbar into the engine". During the ensuing forced landing in fine, calm conditions, the pilot misjudged the final stage of the landing approach, resulting in the aircraft landing very heavily and sustaining considerable damage. A subsequent engineering investigation found the engine had suffered a catastrophic malfunction resulting from fatigue failure of a helical thrust washer from the high speed pinion assembly. The fatigue crack growth resulted from applied alternating loads, probably due to excessive wear of the helical gearshaft bearing. About one week prior to the flight,n engine oil sample and filter element had been removed in accordance with Airworthiness Directive AD/TPE 331/9. Although the samples had not been immediately sent for laboratory analysis, the owner was allowed to operate the engine for a further 50 hrs before satisfactory test results were received. When the samples were examined during the investigation, ticles were found which ind ic ated bea ring dete ri or ation pri or to the engi ne failu re. Earlier in the year, the a ircr aft had sustaine d a pr ope ller g rou nd strike, resulting in the engine being removed and returned to an overhaul facility for in spection. It has been re port ed t hat when the engi ne was re-installed in the airc raft, a to rquem eter ca libr ation che ck was not carried out in acc ordanc e with the procedures published in the manufacturer's maintenace manual. Although not positively established, the mode of engine failure was consistent with an excessive torque setting.

Significant Factors:

The following factors were considered relevant to the development of the accident:

- 1. Probable excessive wear of the helical gearshaft bearing.
- 2. Torsional loading of the helical thrust washer from the high speed pinion gear assembly.
- 3. Fatigue failure of the helical thrust washer.
- 4. Pilot-in-command misjudged distance, speed and altitude during forced landing approach.