1

Aviation Safety Investigation Report 198801404

Piper PA25-235 (Pawnee)

9 November 1988

Readers are advised that the Australian Transport Safety Bureau investigates for the sole purpose of enhancing transport safety. Consequently, Bureau reports are confined to matters of safety significance and may be misleading if used for any other purposes.

Investigations commenced on or before 30 June 2003, including the publication of reports as a result of those investigations, are authorised by the CEO of the Bureau in accordance with Part 2A of the Air Navigation Act 1920.

Investigations commenced after 1 July 2003, including the publication of reports as a result of those investigations, are authorised by the CEO of the Bureau in accordance with the Transport Safety Investigation Act 2003 (TSI Act). Reports released under the TSI Act are not admissible as evidence in any civil or criminal proceedings.

NOTE: All air safety occurrences reported to the ATSB are categorised and recorded. For a detailed explanation on Category definitions please refer to the ATSB website at www.atsb.gov.au.

Occurrence Number: 198801404 Occurrence Type: Accident

Location: "Deddick River" (24 km NE of Gelantipy) VIC **Date:** 9 November 1988 **Time:** 900

Highest Injury Level: Fatal

Injuries:

| | Fatal | Serious | Minor | None |
|-----------|-------|---------|-------|------|
| Crew | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Ground | 0 | 0 | 0 | - |
| Passenger | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

Aircraft Details: Piper PA25-235 (Pawnee)

Registration: VH-SEH **Serial Number:** 25-7405565 **Operation Type:** Aerial Work **Damage Level:** Destroyed

Departure Point: "Deddick Park" (20 km NE

of Gelantipy) VIC

Departure Time: 0830

Destination: "Deddick Park" VIC

Approved for Release: June 27th 1989

Circumstances:

In sunny conditions with clear visibility and a slight easterly wind drift, the pilot was spraying a paddock of thistles with a herbicide. He had inspected the job site on the ground two days earlier. Because of the mountainous terrain, the pilot flew a left hand racetrack pattern. The spray runs were flown at about ten feet above ground level. The fourth spray run was to the south and encountered clumps of trees. Near the end of the run, the outboard section of the right wing collided with a seven metre high tree removing about one metre of the top of the tree. Almost immediately the aircraft carried out a very steep climbing turn to the right and the engine noise diminished as if the pilot had closed the throttle. The aircraft then descended in a steep, nose down attitude. There was no witness to the aircraft's impact with the ground. Wreckage layout confirmed that it impacted the ground in a nose low attitude with wings almost level. There was no ground slide. For reasons unknown, the pilot did not dump the load of herbicide after hitting the tree. At ground impact the hopper burst out of the airframe and the fuel tank, containing an inner rubber liner, ruptured. The fuel ignited and the aircraft was destroyed by fire. Whether the aircraft became uncontrollable after the tree strike remains unknown. The aircraft was serviceable prior to impacting the tree despite confirmation of minor right wing damage after a previous tree strike on 27 October 1989 by the same pilot at a previous job site. A simulated spray run has since been flown over the same ground by an agricultural pilot flying instructor. The impacted tree was difficult to see because of a larger clump of trees in the immediate foreground. Also, it partially blended into a treed hill of similar hue about 500 metres beyond. There was no practical need to fly the run as low as ten feet and doing so would have been unnecessarily dangerous. It is possible that the pilot closed the throttle while mistaking it for the spray lever after the tree collision. The pilot had successfully flown sixteen hours of spraying operations in the same mountainous terrain during the week prior to the fatal accident.

Significant Factors:

The following factors were considered relevant to the development of the accident

- 1. The tree with which the aircraft collided was difficult to see.
- 2. The spray run was in difficult, mountainous terrain.
- 3. The pilot was flying unnecessarily low.
- 4. The aircraft may have been uncontrollable after the tree strike.
- 5. The pilot did not dump the load of herbicide.
- 6. The pilot probably mistakenly closed the throttle after the tree strike.