Aviation Safety Investigation Report 199400622

Burkhart Grob Flugzeugbau ASTIR CS 77

12 March 1994

Aviation Safety Investigation Report 199400622

Readers are advised that the Australian Transport Safety Bureau investigates for the sole purpose of enhancing transport safety. Consequently, Bureau reports are confined to matters of safety significance and may be misleading if used for any other purposes.

Investigations commenced on or before 30 June 2003, including the publication of reports as a result of those investigations, are authorised by the Executive Director of the Bureau in accordance with Part 2A of the Air Navigation Act 1920.

Investigations commenced after 1 July 2003, including the publication of reports as a result of those investigations, are authorised by the Executive Director of the Bureau in accordance with the Transport Safety Investigation Act 2003 (TSI Act). Reports released under the TSI Act are not admissible as evidence in any civil or criminal proceedings.

NOTE: All air safety occurrences reported to the ATSB are categorised and recorded. For a detailed explanation on Category definitions please refer to the ATSB website at www.atsb.gov.au.

Occurrence Number: 199400622 Occurrence Type: Accident

Location: 2.5km SSW Waikerie

State: SA Inv Category: 3

Date: Saturday 12 March 1994

Time: 1530 hours **Time Zone** CSuT

Highest Injury Level: Fatal

Injuries:

	Fatal	Serious	Minor	None	Total
Crew	1	0	0	0	1
Ground	0	0	0	0	0
Passenger	0	0	0	0	0
Total	1	0	0	0	1

Aircraft Manufacturer: Burkhart Grob Flugzeugbau

Aircraft Model: ASTIR CS 77

Aircraft Registration: VH-IKJ Serial Number: 1675

Type of Operation: Non-commercial Practice

Damage to Aircraft:DestroyedDeparture Point:Waikerie SADeparture Time:1527 CSuTDestination:Waikerie SA

Crew Details:

	Hours on			
Role	Class of Licence	Type Hou	rs Total	
Pilot-In-Command	Foreign	10.0	35	

Approved for Release: Wednesday, January 24, 1996

Factual information

The glider was launched by aero-tow for a local flight and at about 2,000 ft it was observed by the tug pilot to release and to fly straight and level.

Some time later, the pilot a of glider flying in the Waikerie circuit area reported that there was a damaged glider in a field about 3 km south of the airfield. The tug pilot immediately commenced an aerial search and on locating the severly damaged glider, alerted emergency services. The pilot had not survived the impact.

On-site examination of the wreckage indicated that the glider had impacted the flat, grass covered terrain at high speed in a vertical, or near vertical dive. There was no evidence that the aircraft had been rotating immediately at impact and all aircraft components were located at the site except for the radio battery. Impact forces had destroyed the cockpit area, partially detached both wings, and broken the fuselage near the wing trailing edge.

The wreckage was recovered to a hangar at the airfield and inspected by engineers. Despite the extreme disruption of the airframe, all primary control system components were identified and examined. Many components had fractured during impact, however, it was determined that the control systems were all correctly connected prior to impact. The damage to componentry precluded a conclusive examination for jamming or obstruction of the control systems. The radio battery location was in an area of the aircraft well away from the control systems. The battery to electrical system connector wiring was found torn apart, consistent with separation of the battery from the aircraft at impact.

The glider had a valid maintenance release and no maintenance deficiencies were identified. No evidence was found to suggest that a pre-existing failure of an aircraft component contributed to the accident.

The pilot was one of a group of foreign glider pilots visiting Waikerie for a period of intensive flying. He was correctly licensed and endorsed on the type. Prior to being approved to conduct solo flights at Waikerie, he was given flight instruction which included stall and spin recovery techniques.

A witness reported that on the day before the accident, a similar glider flown by the same pilot had pitched steeply nose-down and lost height immediately after release from the aero-tow. The pilot was severely shaken and he was subsequently debriefed by instructional staff before undertaking further flying.

The tow had appeared normal to the tug pilot who also briefly observed the glider in straight and level flight following the release. The descent was apparently not observed; however, in the light of the witness report of the previous day's incident, the possibility that the pilot lost control of the glider cannot be discounted.

The reason for the dive and the inability of the pilot to regain control of the glider could not be determined.