

**Aviation Safety Investigation Report
198800706**

Aerocommander 500-S

20 March 1988

Readers are advised that the Australian Transport Safety Bureau investigates for the sole purpose of enhancing transport safety. Consequently, Bureau reports are confined to matters of safety significance and may be misleading if used for any other purposes.

Investigations commenced on or before 30 June 2003, including the publication of reports as a result of those investigations, are authorised by the CEO of the Bureau in accordance with Part 2A of the Air Navigation Act 1920.

Investigations commenced after 1 July 2003, including the publication of reports as a result of those investigations, are authorised by the CEO of the Bureau in accordance with the Transport Safety Investigation Act 2003 (TSI Act). Reports released under the TSI Act are not admissible as evidence in any civil or criminal proceedings.

NOTE: All air safety occurrences reported to the ATSB are categorised and recorded. For a detailed explanation on Category definitions please refer to the ATSB website at www.atsb.gov.au.

Occurrence Number: 198800706
Location: Clifton Hills SA
Date: 20 March 1988
Highest Injury Level: Nil
Injuries:

Occurrence Type: Accident

Time: 1500

	Fatal	Serious	Minor	None
Crew	0	0	1	1
Ground	0	0	0	-
Passenger	0	0	0	1
Total	0	0	0	2

Aircraft Details: Aerocommander 500-S
Registration: VH-ACZ
Serial Number: 3176
Operation Type: Supplementary Airline
Damage Level: Substantial
Departure Point: Alton Downs SA
Departure Time: 1445
Destination: Clifton Hills SA

Approved for Release: 21 June 1988

Circumstances:

The pilot had been advised of a displaced threshold, and assessed that the available length was adequate. The displaced threshold was forgotten until the markers were sighted during final approach. Power was applied to land beyond them but the aircraft was allowed to balloon and float before touching down, and overran the end of the strip where the landing gear collided with a low windrow. The pilot inspected the landing gear for damage, and believing the aircraft was airworthy, continued with the flight schedule. Subsequent examination revealed that the airframe had suffered substantial damage. This accident was not the subject of an on scene investigation.